

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/734,107 | 12/15/2003 | Daniel Brun-Buisson | 1435-140-1 | 4864 |
| 22852 | 7590 04/15/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP | | | DAVIS, BRIAN J | |
| 1300 I STREET, NW | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| WASHINGT | WASHINGTON, DC 20005 | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/15/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summan | 10/734,107 | BRUN-BUISSON ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| The MANUALO DATE And | Brian J. Davis | 1621 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-10</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-10</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 February 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the displacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | a) \square accepted or b) \square objected awing(s) be held in abeyance. See n is required if the drawing(s) is obje | 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | have been received. have been received in Applicatio y documents have been received PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | n No. <u>10/169,579</u> . I in this National Stage | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary (F Paper No(s)/Mail Date | PTO- 4 13) ∋ | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/15/03. | 5) Notice of Informal Pat 6) Other: | ent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/734,107

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The specification is objected to because it does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by The Merck Index, 12th edition entry No. 9798, cited by the applicant in the IDS

Triethanolamine is an old and well-known compound in the chemical arts. Applicant's recitation of triethanolamine having particular purity characteristics is not a patentable distinction over an old and well-known compound. Case law is clear on this point: To be patentable, a novel form of an old compound must possess a new utility or a utility of a different type. There are a number of decisions holding that where the purification of an old product results in a mere change in degree in its properties, the purified form is unpatentable, for example:

Application/Control Number: 10/734,107

Art Unit: 1621

Ex parte Windhaus, 15 USPQ 45 (POBA 1931); In re Ridgeway, 76 F.2d 602, 25 USPQ 202 (CCPA 1935); In re Mertz, 97 F.2d 599, 38 USPQ 143 (CCPA 1938); In re Macallum, 102 F.2d 614, 41 USPQ 146 (CCPA 1939); In re King, 107 F.2d 614, 43 USPQ 400 (CCPA 1939); Ex parte Sparhawk, 64 USPQ 339 (POBA 1945); In re Johnson, 94 F.2d 978, 37 USPQ 75 (CCPA 1938); Ex parte Cavillito, 89 USPQ 449 (POBA 1950); Ex parte Snell, 86 USPQ 496 (POBA 1950); In re Fisher, 307, F.2d 948, 135 USPQ 22 (CCPA 1962); Ex parte Hartop, 139 USPQ 525 (POBA 1962); Ex parte Siddiqui, 156 USPQ 426 (POBA 1966); Ex parte Schmidt-Kastner, 153 USPQ 473 (POBA 1963). In re Weijland, 587 OG 3, 33 CCPA 837, 154 F.2d 133; 1946 CD 175, 69 USPQ 86; Ex parte Hald, Paper 15 in US 2,647,145.

See also: *In re Papesch*, 315, F.2d 381, 137 USPQ 43 (CCPA 1963) (A compound and its properties are inseparable).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-0638. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BRIAN DAVIS

Brian J. Davis April 12, 2004